I Believe in Bugs Bunny Debate with Muhammad Al Assadi Part 7

Muhammad Al Assadi vs. Ali Sina

Part V

Much puffery but little content.

2005/12/22

> " Sina Ali, here is what you said, and you said a lot again, with no respect for my request of having one issue at the time, but before I list, let me ask you if you do not mind of course, if we debate issue by issue, and you are not happy or still have good reason to continue, let us not move to a new issue before the first is solved completely.

Mr. Al Assadi. I only answered the points that you raised without introducing any new topics.

> I will answer part of what came in this message, but if you do not mind, I hope you will bring the issues after where I stop answering the first part one by one next time, and do not leave an issue before it is fully debated, and you have nothing else to say about. Here is what you said first:
> You said:
>
> | |
> |---|
> |Quote:|
> |Mr. Al Assadi: If you want to debate with me please get to the point. Your ad hominem and boastful talk can't be used as a substitute for arguments.|
>
> I say: you saw this is a good time for a joke, ok no problem, but that doesn't mean I need to laugh, right?

You have all the right to joke and laugh. But there is a different between humour and personal attacks.

Quote:
I said Muslims do not believe in Jesus, the Bible and the god of the Christians. They have their own versions of these which are different from those believed by the Christians

.
O let me add here, you said it is a figment of Muhammad own imagination, I showed you how it is from the stupid imagination of Christianity. I brought you how Ludicrous what they made up with their sick Imagination too.

And I said that is a beef you have to settle with Christians. All I care to show is that Muslims do not believe in the Jesus, God and the Bible of the Christians. They might as well use other terms because these terms are misleading. A reclining chair and an electric chair are both chairs but they are not the same. It would be more honest if Muslims said it from the start; we do not believe in the God you believe, in the Jesus that you believe and in the book that you believe. With all that you showed, it is clear that the verse 29:45 where Muhammad said: “and say, we believe in what has been sent down to us and sent down to you. Our God is the same as your God”, is misleading. This point is clear. Let us move to the next.

Quote:
They use the same words but mean different things. You called me a liar and then went on to confirm what I said. Whether your version is the true one or theirs is not my point. You discuss that with the Christians. My point is that when Muslims say we believe in the same god that the Christians believe, they lie. This you already confirmed. There is nothing to argue.

I say: I already said how you said this, and how I corrected where you need to be corrected, also affirmed the shocking little truth you spoke as well, left out nothing, and you know it too at this stage.

You said:

Quote:
I speak to each in accordance to his capacity. I determined this language is more appropriate for you. To an academician I speak in an academic language, to a child I speak like a child. When I speak to a toddler, I become a toddler and to a joker become a joker. This is the way it should be. It would be foolish to speak to a toddler in a language that he would not understand

.
I say: Why Sina Ali, you do not have one sure personality, you have to be like lizard, Green when green demands, and red in the eyes in hostility otherwise?

You confuse personality with methodology or strategy. Even a rocket scientist when talking to his toddler speaks in a language that the child can understand. This does not mean he has two different personalities but rather has commonsense.

Let me add to what you need to know at this stage, I am a computer System Analyst, with minor in Math, not from the UAE, but from Jackson State University Alabama back in the year 1979, I think now this may give you an idea how to treat me. Yet I still know nothing about you, and you continue to be a nobody as long as you keeping this to yourself as national secret, but see; I do not care, you always start this. Yet I do not care, but when you do not ID yourself, yet asking me to I D, this is little absurd, Let us discuss what we came to discuss, leave who you are and who am I, this is not the issue.
You said:

Quote:
You wrote to me claiming you are going to prove my claims about Muhammad are wrong. But instead, the first question you asked was about my belief. Why should this be important? I accused Muhammad of lots of crimes. Your job is to defend him and acquit him of those charges, not to put me or my belief on trial. If I claim to be a prophet, then you have all the rights to question me. Otherwise why should my belief be important?

I say: this is truly funny, you put me and what I believe on trial with insults, did not Jesus say: do unto others what you want them to do unto you, but I do not think your God Buggs Bunny stated this too. On the other hand you started by wanting to know what Masjid I preach at, what books I wrote, what Website I have, you questioned me as if I am your debate, yet you failed to answer one simple question from me, are you for real saying what you are saying today? You are afraid I make your belief a Mockery, but it is ok for you to make mine as what you are doing for a long time today, this for your short memory is ok? I ask you as you asked me: why should knowing me be so important, am I the topic of our debate, and still at this moment I say clearly, I do not care who you are, or anything about you, you do not interest me, I came to defy your lies about my belief. I need to defy all the nonsense you said about what I believe in by the Qur'aan only. Yes, I still will prove all what you said about Muhammad PBUH are lies, but as I said: I will do so using the Noble Qur'aan, not story books of any kind that I do not value, and I believe those books are made by Stupid Jews and stupid Christians to mislead Muslims during the last 1400 years.

You are repeating yourself too much. Please get to the point. We already discussed all this.

You said:

Quote:
That is the way it should be. Your job is to prove Muhammad was not a liar not to discuss about me. Keep me and my beliefs out of it. It is Muhammad we are talking about. Why I asked about your credential is to determine whether it is worth for me spending time responding to you. You know about me. You know or have heard about my site. But I know nothing about you and I have the right to ask.

I say: you did not leave my belief, to make me relieve yours Sina Ali, remember do unto others? You asked about me, no I do not know much about you, and when I told you about me, you still ask more, you said if I am worth it, you are less informed, and this did not trouble me, I do not even know if you are worth it, I am just standing up for the idiocy coming against Islam from any. All your knowledge about Islam are lies, and false, I said I will prove it, and I am doing just so, so let us stay focused if you do not mind,

First of all we will get to that “do unto others” later. Muslims are the only people that do not believe in it. Secondly our discussion is about Islam. I have not invited anyone to believe in my religion so my belief is irrelevant. I do not care about people’s religions, but Islam intends to take over the world and destroy whatever I cherish, like freedom, democracy, equality, art, science, etc. I am not fighting against Islam because it is false, but because it is threatening my world. If what I say about Islam are lies, you are here to show that. Other subjects are not of anyone’s interest.

And as you insult my belief, this is a license for me to insult your belief,

You can insult whatever you want. But you are here to disprove my charges against Muhammad. So I advise you to stick to this point.

if you decided to discuss intelligently, and the civilized way, you cannot imagine how easy I can change too, try me. Leave insults, leave your rudeness, state your objections, and I will do better Insha Allah than a bulk of you.

Mr. Al Assadi; Nobody has insulted you. We are discussing Islam and Muhammad and I am accusing Muhammad of being a criminal and a psychopath. Your job is to prove these charges are false. In this case, I am the prosecutor, Muhammad is the accused and you are

his defendant. Our readers are the jury. To say, “oh you are insulting my client” is not a valid argument. These are charges not insults. Also by attacking me you get nowhere. It is for your own interest that you act with civility or you would be shooting yourself in the foot. I am not offended by incivility. I publish all of them to show Muslims are barbarians. Did you see the Muslims’ comments in my site? Do you want to go that way?

You said:

Quote:
This is called censorship of thought. In the civilized world no person can decide what others can and cannot read. Your friend said you live in UAE and you can’t access the site. Well, that explains why you accepted to debate. Most people who read this site try to stay away and do not dare to debate. Anyway, try a proxy server to access this site. proxywave.com is a good one. With that you should be able to access the banned sites.

I say: O Wow, this is funny really, wonderful joke, I like it, keep up the good work, however I am sorry, I like the way we are doing this, less evil means better concentration for me. Leave a Rabbit in the wilderness, you will never catch, but bring it in closed area between you and me and your Buggs Bunny will fall on Dinner table most hopefully. I am sorry, I like to keep myself clean, I will not sink in the rotten swamp you created to hurt Muslims, I am debating away from that evil group full with nothing by ignorance, so think about neutral ground, that site may have a lot of Jokers, who need more of those please save me.

Please practice avoiding boastfulness and get to the point.

You said:

Quote:
You should settle your beef with the Christians. This is not a Christian site. In this site I am interested only to discuss about Muhammad and his crimes. There is no point in talking about Christianity. Show me what proof you have to the claim of Muhammad that he was a prophet or prove my charges against him are not true. I accused him of being a pedophile, a rapist, a thief, an assassin, a mass murderer, a liar, a pervert womanizer among other things. This is the subject you must discuss.

I say: I see how you are not rude, I see how you do not speak like a Satan Advocate against what I believe in, the funny thing you do not like me attacking your evil belief as well, how funny and Civilized of you. Sina, listen, since Stupid Christianity is not your belief, or the Joker you said is your God and who is so funny, why I sense you are lying, and every time I say Christianity is so stupid, you jump like a Bunny? I am sorry, but see as some evil cannot live without insulting Islam, I cannot live without insulting the stupid Christians, and their Christianity, and exposing the lies of the Judeo-Christians' book, or the so called The Word of Satan. I am sorry, you cannot stay without insulting Islam, and Muhammad, why you ask me to not insult Christianity, and since it is not your belief, why I feel you are troubled, when I feel you are troubled about the stupid Christianity, this makes me want to use it to trouble you as you trouble me about Muhammad, Dig it Sina Ali.

You can insult anything you like until the cows come home. But you came here claiming to be a scholar wanting to defend Islam. Insulting Christianity has nothing to do with that. Please get to the point and refute my charges against Muhammad, if you can.

You said:

Quote:
Okay. I take you for your word that you are a scholar.

I say: Sina, shape up, stop asking who I am, and keep on the Debate going, believe me I need a Bucket full with your kind to handle me, and what I know, and what is my knowledge about religions, I do not even know what country you are from, you know where I live, I do not know what God you worship you know about me, I do not know what prophet you like, you insult mine so rudely and ignorantly all the time and I feel how you enjoy this ignorance every time intentionally, I know how you even smile with the evil in your heart, you know about me more than what you deserve for the lack of my knowledge about you. You know my education, and I do not know where you got your illiteracy. Will you stop asking who am I, stay with what we are here for, I am not going to give you what you think will help you to attack me more, you are attacking more than a Jungle full with Poisonous Snakes, I know what else you seek, and I will not give you, so relax Sina, start debating, I need to show you and the Jokers who claps their hands with stupidity for your lack of knowledge, and show idiot kind of happy.

We Persians have a saying; “Perfume must smell on its own, not what the salesman says” (In Persian it sounds better). It means the same as “the proof of the pudding is in eating it”. I said I take you for your word that you are a scholar, but it is up to you to show that you are indeed a scholar. You are talking about everything else except what you came here to do and that is to prove my charges against Muhammad are false or prove that he was indeed a messenger of God.

You said:

Quote:
Skies like Smoke: Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?

I say: I did not ask you this question, I asked you how did he know the Heavens were smoke like, that is all.

You are repeating the same thing. This is yet another logical fallacy called *argumentum ad nauseam*. Muslims believe that by saying the same thing over and over eventually people give in and they win. This is not true. People may get tired hearing you and give up debating

with you but this fallacy does not make your argument sound true and it is the worst thing to do in a written debate.

I already answered this question. Muhammad did not know. The question is moot because he did not know. I explained that in detail. This point does not need any more elucidation. I do not expect you to accept it. The argument is clear and I am only interested that the readers see it.

> Now, I went down further, and I regret it, you are using same old same old, nothing changed, how can we change you? You keep repeating yourself like a broken CD, over and over and over, give it a break Sina Ali, I said, and I am serious: I will not debate ten thousands Items all at the same time. I just asked you how Muhammad Knew the Heaven was Smoke like and the Earth, you spaced out without taking any passenger to Jupiter.

You asked me once about the argument of smoke and I explained. You complained why I did not answer you and I explained the same thing in more detail. What repetition?

> Ok, I see, you are trying to say how the claim that the Heavens were Smoke like is none Scientific, fine, you are ignoring what Science said in this regard, you also can say there is no Sun in the Heaven while there are millions, no problem. Now, just answering this much, I wrote many pages, imagine if I went answering everything else you mentioned, this is not going to happen.

I showed that the story of the creation as explained in the Quran is not scientific. You call that denial of science? Can you tell us which part of this story stated in 41:9-12 is scientific?

> I will ask you to bring your next issue, just one at the time, I am not going to ask anything else of you now, waiting for you to start, just one issue, not one thousand, do not put Zeroes to the word, they will continue to be Zeroes until you bring them one at the time, I do not jump like a Rabbit when I explain, I need to make my point clear not only for you, but also the Jokers who believe everything you say, and repeat it like birds with power of speaking what they do not understand, say to the bird Good Morning, it will say like those without knowing the difference between a good and a bad morning, say Christianity is Evil and stupid, the bird will say: Christianity Sucks, do not blame me, I do not know who taught that bird this word. "

If you prefer me to show the fallacy of Islam, that is fine with me. Format is not important. There are many arguments that I could present. I will start with the embryology in the Quran and instead of refuting it myself. I will quote two medical doctors.

One is an Egyptian Ex-Muslim. Here is his article.

http://www.faithfreedom.org/Articles/AE/embryo.htm

And the other is Dr. William Campbell who exhaustively have shown the concept of the development of embryo in the Quran is wrong.

http://www.answering-islam.org/Quran/Science/alaqa.html

There has been a lot of discussion on this and many Muslims have tried to explain the unexplainable but none of those arguments are convincing.

The following is a bibliography of the discussion on embryology in the Quran. You don't have to read all of them but it is a good idea that you glance at them so we avoid repetitions. If you can add something that other Muslim apologists have not said already, I would like to hear. But since you can't and everything is already said and refuted, this is another proof that the Quran is false and not from God.

Embryology and the Qur'an

Source

- Embryology in the Qur'an ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]) [The Muslim Argument]
- Rebuttals:
  - **'ALAQA (clot?) and other embryological stages in the Qur'an** (Dr. W. F. Campbell)
  - **A second look at "Embryology in the Qur'an"** (Lactantius)
    - Answers to Elias Karim's response to the above:
      - the historical aspect (Lactantius)
      - the exegetical aspect (Jochen Katz)
    - Abdullah ibn Adam's response and Lactantius' answer
  - **Qur'anic Embryology** (Dr. Yusuf Needham and Dr. Butrus Needbeer)
- Responses to the "**It is Truth**" articles on embryology: Chapters 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
- The Qur'an on semen production
- Complete list of verses on man's origin
- Two Pre-embryonic Stages?
- Is an embryo "something that clings"?
- Remarks on the scientific facts of Embryology in the Qur'an.